

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/728,042		SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	RANODHI N. SERRAO		2444	

All Participants:

(1) RANODHI N. SERRAO.

(2) J. Lavar Oldham (Reg. No. 53,409).

Date of Interview: 5 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:15PM

/RANODHI N SERRAO/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The Examiner proposed amending independent claim 15 to include the subject matter of Applicant's specification, page 18, lines 8-18, in order to clearly distinguish the claimed invention over the applied prior art of record and further advance prosecution of the application. Applicant agreed. See attached Examiner's Amendment.